

Applicants: J. Bednorz et al.

Serial No. 08/303,561

Filed: September 9, 1994

For: NEW SUPERCONDUCTIVE COMPOUNDS HAVING HIGH

USE AND PREPARATION

The Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Date: December 15, 1998

Group Art Unit: 4105 /

Examiner: M. Kopec

TRANSITION TEMPERATURE, AND METHODS FOR THEIR ?

AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL REJECTION

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated June 25, 1998, please consider the following:

REMARKS

In the referenced final Office Action, the Examiner refers to three affidavits (of Mitzi, Tsuei and Dinger) submitted by applicants to overcome the rejection under 35 USC 112 for a lack of enablement. The Examiner's comments are at (paragraph (d)(iv) page 7, 5 lines from the bottom to page 8, 4 lines from the bottom. The Examiner states:

(d)(iv)(1) "Those affidavits do not set forth particular facts to support the conclusions that all superconductors based on the applicants' work behave in the same way and that one skilled in the art can make these superconductors without undue experimentation."

(d)(iv)(2) "Those affidavits do not overcome the non-enablement rejection."